arXiv:1603.02359v1 [hep-th] 8 Mar 2016

Quasiconformal Group Approach to Higher Spin Algebras, their Deformations and Supersymmetric Extensions

Murat Günaydin[1]

Institute for Gravitation and the Cosmos
Physics Department, Pennsylvania State University
University Park, PA 16802, USA

Abstract

The quasiconformal method provides us with a unified approach to the construction of minimal unitary representations (minrep) of non-compact groups, their deformations as well as their supersymmetric extensions. We review the quasiconformal construction of the minrep of $SO(d,2)$, its deformations and their applications to unitary realizations of $AdS_{(d+1)}/CFT_d$ higher spin algebras and their deformations for arbitrary d and supersymmetric extensions for $d \leq 6$. $AdS_{(d+1)}/CFT_d$ higher spin algebras, their deformations and supersymmetric extensions are given by the enveloping algebras of the quasiconformal realizations of the minrep, its deformations and supersymmetric extensions, respectively, and are in one-to-one correspondence with massless conformal fields for arbitrary d and massless conformal supermultiplets for $d \leq 6$.

To appear in the "Proceedings of the International Workshop on Higher Spin Gauge Theories" , Institute of Advanced Studies, NTU, Singapore, November 4-6, 2015

[1]email: mgunaydin@psu.edu

1 Introduction

The minimal unitary representation (minrep) of a noncompact Lie group, as defined by Joseph [1], is realized over an Hilbert space of functions that depend on the smallest number of variables possible. The mathematics literature on minreps of noncompact groups is quite extensive. We refer to [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14] and the references therein. After the discovery of the novel geometric quasiconformal realizations of noncompact groups[15, 16] a unified approach to their minreps was developed. The minimal unitary realization is obtained by quantization of the geometric quasiconformal action. This was first carried out explicitly for the largest exceptional group $E_{8(8)}$ [17] which is the U-duality group of maximal supergravity in three dimensions. The minrep of the $3d$ U-duality group $E_{8(-24)}$ of the exceptional supergravity [18] via the quasiconformal approach was constructed in [19]. A unified approach to the construction of the minreps of noncompact groups by quantizing their quasiconformal actions was formulated in [20]. The results of [20] extend to the minreps of noncompact superalgebras $\mathfrak{g}$ whose even subalgebras are of the form $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ where $\mathfrak{h}$ is compact. The quasiconformal construction of the minreps of non-compact Lie algebras $SU(n,m|p+q)$ and $OSp(2N^*|2M)$ were subsequently developed in [21, 22, 23][2]

The quasiconformal construction of the minrep of AdS_5/CFT_4 group $SU(2,2)$ and its supersymmetric extensions $SU(2,2|N)$ were studied in [21]. The minrep of $SU(2,2)$ describes a massless scalar field in $4d$. It admits a one parameter (ζ) family of deformations labelled by helicity, which can be continuous[3]. For a positive (negative) integer value of ζ, the deformed minrep describes a massless conformal field transforming in $\big(0\,,\,\frac{\zeta}{2}\big)$ $\big(\big(-\frac{\zeta}{2},0\big)\big)$ representation of the Lorentz group. The minrep and its deformations for integer ζ are isomorphic to the doubleton representations of $SU(2,2)$ that were constructed using covariant twistorial oscillators[24, 25, 26]. Similarly, the minrep of $SU(2,2\,|\,N)$ and its deformations for integer ζ are isomorphic to the doubleton supermultiplets that were studied in [24, 25, 26].The minimal unitary supermultiplet of $PSU(2,2|4)$ is the $N=4$ Yang-Mills supermultiplet in $d=4$ that was first constructed as a CPT self-conjugate . doubleton supermuliplet in [24].

[2]We will use the notation for labelling Lie (super)groups and Lie (super)algebras interchangeably throughout the paper.

[3]The parameter ζ is twice the helicity.

The minrep of AdS_7/CFT_6 group $SO(6,2) = SO^*(8)$ and its deformations were constructed in [22]. The deformations of the minrep of $SO(6,2)$ are labelled by the "spin" t of an $SU(2)$ subgroup . The $SU(2)$ spin t is the analog of helicity in six dimensions. The minrep of $SO^*(8)$ and its deformations describe massless conformal fields in six dimensions. These results were then extended to $6d$ superconformal algebras $OSp(8^*|2N)$ [22, 23]. The minimal unitary supermultiplet of $OSp(8^*|4)$ describes the massless conformal $(2,0)$ supermultiplet in $6d$, which was first constructed as a doubleton supermultiplet in [27].

The quasiconformal realizations of minreps of noncompact groups are in general nonlinear involving operators that are cubic and quartic in the coordinates and momenta. However for symplectic groups quasiconformal construction of the minrep coincides with the oscillator construction involving bilinears of twistorial oscillators[20]. Hence the minrep of AdS_4/CFT_3 group $Sp(4,\mathbb{R})$ is simply the scalar singleton of Dirac and it admits a single deformation which is the spinor singleton. They were referred to as the remarkable representations of AdS_4 group by Dirac [28]. The singleton supermultiplets of AdS_4 superalgebras $OSp(2n|4,\mathbb{R})$, in particular the $N = 8$ superalgebra $OSp(8|4,\mathbb{R})$, were first constructed in [29, 30] using the oscillator methods developed earlier [31, 32]. Oscillator construction of general supermultiplets of $OSp(N|4,\mathbb{R})$ was later given in [27, 33].

All the massless higher spin representations of AdS_4 group $SO(3,2)$ occur in the tensor product of two singleton representations [34]. The higher spin theories in AdS_4 were studied extensively by Fronsdal and collaborators [35, 36, 37, 38]. In the 1980s Fradkin and Vasiliev pioneered the study of higher spin theories involving fields containing infinitely many spins $0 \leq s < \infty$ [39, 40]. Much work has been done on higher spin theories in the intervening years, in particular after the work of [41] who conjectured that Vasiliev's higher spin theory[42] in AdS_4 is dual to $O(N)$ vector model in $3d$. The conjecture of [41] was checked by Giombi and Yi by calculating some of the three point functions of higher spin currents in the bulk and matching them with those of free and critical $O(N)$ vector models in $3d$ [43, 44]. For reviews on higher spin theories we refer to [45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51] and references therein.

That the Fradkin-Vasiliev higher spin algebra in AdS_4 [39] is the enveloping algebra of the singletonic realization of $Sp(4,\mathbb{R})$ was first pointed out in [52]. Again in [52] it was suggested that the higher spin algebras of Fradkin-Vasiliev type in AdS_5 and AdS_7 can similarly be obtained from

the doubletonic realizations of $SU(2,2)$ and $SO(6,2)$, respectively. Higher spin superalgebras in AdS_4, AdS_5 and AdS_7 could then be realized as enveloping algebras of the singletonic realization of $OSp(N/4,\mathbb{R})$ and doubletonic realizations of $SU(2,2|N)$ and $OSp(8^*|2N)$[52]. Conformal higher spin superalgebras in four dimensions were studied in [53]. Higher spin theories and supersymmetric extensions in AdS_5 and AdS_7 were studied later in [54, 55, 56, 57] using the doubletonic realizations of [27, 26, 25, 58]. Higher spin superalgebras in higher dimensions were also studied in [59].

Vasiliev pointed out that to obtain the standart bosonic higher spin algebra in $AdS_{(d+1)}$, one has to quotient the enveloping algebra of $SO(d,2)$ by the ideal that annihilates the scalar "singleton" representation [46]. Later Eastwood identified this ideal to be the Joseph ideal [60]. This is consistent with the observation that AdS_4/CFT_3 higher spin algebra is given by the enveloping algebra of the singletonic realization of $SO(3,2)$[52] since the Joseph ideal vanishes identically for the singleton. However the Joseph ideal does not vanish identically as operators for the doubletonic realizations of $SO(4,2)$ and $SO(6,2)$ in terms of covariant twistorial oscillators. This is where the importance of quasiconformal approach to the construction of higher spin algebras and superalgebras and their deformations becomes manifest. The Joseph ideal vanishes identically as operators in the quasiconformal construction of the minrep of $SO(d,2)$ and hence its enveloping algebra leads directly to the higher spin algebra without the need for quotienting. This approach also allows one to define deformations and supersymmetric extensions of higher spin algebras.

The vanishing of the Joseph ideal for the quasiconformal realization of minreps of $SO(4,2)$ and $SO(6,2)$ was shown in [61, 62]. Hence their enveloping algebras lead directly to unitary realizations of the bosonic AdS_5/CFT_4 and AdS_7/CFT_6 higher spin algebras, respectively. The enveloping algebras of the deformed minreps of $SU(2,2)$ and of $SO^*(8)$ and their supersymmetric extensions yield infinite families of AdS_5/CFT_4 and AdS_7/CFT_6 higher spin algebras and superalgebras, respectively [61, 62].

These results were extended to AdS_6/CFT_5 higher spin algebra in [63]. The minrep of $SO(5,2)$ admits a unique deformation which describes a conformally massless spinor field in $5d$. In five dimensions there exists a unique simple conformal superalgebra , namely $F(4)$ with the even subalgebra $SO(5,2)\oplus SU(2)$. The minimal unitary supermultiplet of $F(4)$ decomposes into the deformed minrep and two copies of the minrep. Its enveloping algebra defines the unique higher spin superalgebra in AdS_6.

Extension of the above results to higher dimensions was given in [64] where it was shown that the minrep of $SO(d,2)$ and its deformations are in one-to-one correspondence with massless conformal fields in d dimensional spacetimes. For the quasiconformal realization of the minrep of $SO(d,2)$ generators of the Joseph ideal vanish and its enveloping algebra yields directly the $AdS_{(d+1)}/CFT_d$ higher spin algebra. The enveloping algebra of a deformation of the minrep leads to a deformed higher spin algebra. In odd dimensions there exists a unique deformation. In even dimensions there exist infinitely many deformations whose enveloping algebras define an infinite family of higher spin algebras.

Below we will review the quasiconformal realization of $SO(d,2)$ and its quantization that leads directly to the minimal unitary representation of $SO(d,2)$. We shall then discuss the deformations of the minrep and show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the minrep and its deformations and massless conformal fields in d dimensional Minkowskian spacetimes. This will be followed by a review of the application of these results to higher spin algebras, their deformations and supersymmetric extensions.

2 Geometric realization of $SO(d,2)$ as a quasiconformal group

The geometric quasiconformal realization of the anti-de Sitter (conformal) group $SO(d,2)$ in $(d+1)$ (d) dimensions that was given in [16] is based on the 5-grading of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(d,2)$ with respect to its maximal rank subalgebra $\mathfrak{so}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(d-2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2,1)$:

$$\mathfrak{so}(d,2) = \mathbf{1}^{(-2)} \oplus (\mathbf{d-2}, \mathbf{2})^{(-1)} \oplus [\, \Delta \oplus \mathfrak{so}(d-2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(1,1) \,]^0 \oplus (\mathbf{d-2}, \mathbf{2})^{(+1)} \oplus \mathbf{1}^{(+2)}$$

where the generator that determines the five grading is denoted as Δ. We should stress that $SO(d-2)$ is the simple part of the little group of massless particles in d-dimensional Minkowski space-time. The generators of quasiconformal group action of $SO(d,2)$ are realized as nonlinear differential operators acting on a $(2d-3)$-dimensional space $\mathcal{T}$ with coordinates $\mathcal{X} = (X^{i,a}, x)$, where $X^{i,a}$ transform in the $(d-2,2)$ representation of $\mathfrak{so}(d-2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(1,1)$ subalgebra, with $i = 1, \ldots, d-2$ and $a = 1,2$, and x is a singlet coordinate.

Labelling the generators with grade $-2, -1, 0, +1$ and $+2$ as $K_-, U_{i,a}$, $[\Delta \oplus \mathcal{L}_{ij} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{ab}]$, $\widetilde{U}_{i,a}$ and K_+, respectively, their quasiconformal realizations have

the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_+ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(2x^2 - \mathcal{I}_4\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial \mathcal{I}_4}{\partial X^{i,a}}\eta^{ij}\epsilon^{ab}\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{j,b}} + x\, X^{i,a}\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{i,a}} \\
U_{i,a} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial X^{i,a}} - \eta_{ij}\epsilon_{ab}\, X^{j,b}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
\mathcal{L}_{ij} &= \eta_{ik}X^{k,a}\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{j,a}} - \eta_{jk}X^{k,a}\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{i,a}} \\
\mathcal{M}_{ab} &= \epsilon_{ac}X^{i,c}\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{i,b}} + \epsilon_{bc}X^{i,c}\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{i,a}} \\
K_- &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \qquad , \qquad \Delta = 2\,x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + X^{i,a}\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{i,a}} \qquad , \qquad \widetilde{U}_{i,a} = [U_{i,a}\,,\, K_+]
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.1}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{ij}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{ab}$ are the generators of $SO(d-2)$ and $SU(1,1)$ subgroups, respectively,$(i,j,k,l = 1,\ldots,d-2\,;\, a,b,c,d = 1,2)$ and ϵ^{ab} is the inverse symplectic tensor, such that $\epsilon^{ab}\epsilon_{bc} = \delta^a{}_c$. $\mathcal{I}_4(X)$ denotes the quartic polynomial of the coordinates $X^{i,a}$

$$
\mathcal{I}_4(X) = \eta_{ij}\eta_{kl}\epsilon_{ac}\epsilon_{bd}X^{i,a}X^{j,b}X^{k,c}X^{l,d} \tag{2.2}
$$

which is an invariant of $SO(d-2)\times SU(1,1)$ subgroup[4]. In the above expression, ϵ_{ab} is the symplectic invariant tensor of $SU(1,1)$ and η_{ij} is the invariant metric of $SO(d-2)$ in the fundamental representation, which we choose as $\eta_{ij} = -\delta_{ij}$ to be consistent with the conventions of [16].

The grade $+1$ generators $\widetilde{U}_{i,a}$ are obtained by substituting the expression for the quartic invariant in the above equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{U}_{i,a} &= [U_{i,a}\,,\, K_+] \\
&= \eta_{ij}\epsilon_{ad}\left(\eta_{kl}\epsilon_{bc}X^{j,b}X^{k,c}X^{l,d} - x\, X^{j,d}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{i,a}} \\
&\quad - \eta_{ij}\epsilon_{ab}\, X^{j,b}X^{l,c}\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{l,c}} - \epsilon_{ad}\eta_{kl}\, X^{l,d}X^{k,c}\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{i,c}} \\
&\quad + \epsilon_{ad}\eta_{ij}\, X^{l,d}X^{j,b}\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{l,b}} + \eta_{ij}\epsilon_{bc}X^{j,b}X^{l,c}\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{l,a}}
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.3}
$$

The generators of $SO(d,2)$ satisfy the following commutation relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{L}_{ij}\,,\,\mathcal{L}_{kl}] &= \eta_{jk}\mathcal{L}_{il} - \eta_{ik}\mathcal{L}_{jl} - \eta_{jl}\mathcal{L}_{ik} + \eta_{il}\mathcal{L}_{jk} \\
[\mathcal{M}_{ab}\,,\,\mathcal{M}_{cd}] &= \epsilon_{cb}\mathcal{M}_{ad} + \epsilon_{ca}\mathcal{M}_{bd} + \epsilon_{db}\mathcal{M}_{ac} + \epsilon_{da}\mathcal{M}_{bc}
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.4a}
$$

[4]Note that we have the isomorphisms $SU(1,1) \equiv SO(2,1) \equiv Sp(2,\mathbb{R})$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\Delta\,,\,K_{\pm}] &= \pm 2\,K_{\pm} & [K_{-}\,,\,K_{+}] &= \Delta \\
[\Delta\,,\,U_{i,a}] &= -U_{i,a} & \left[\Delta\,,\,\widetilde{U}_{i,a}\right] &= \widetilde{U}_{i,a} \\
[U_{i,a}\,,\,K_{+}] &= \widetilde{U}_{i,a} & \left[\widetilde{U}_{i,a}\,,\,K_{-}\right] &= -U_{i,a} \\
[U_{i,a}\,,\,U_{j,b}] &= 2\,\eta_{ij}\epsilon_{ab}\,K_{-} & \left[\widetilde{U}_{i,a}\,,\,\widetilde{U}_{j,b}\right] &= 2\,\eta_{ij}\epsilon_{ab}\,K_{+}
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.4b}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{L}_{ij}\,,\,U_{k,a}] &= \eta_{jk}U_{i,a} - \eta_{ik}U_{j,a} & \left[\mathcal{L}_{ij}\,,\,\widetilde{U}_{k,a}\right] &= \eta_{jk}\widetilde{U}_{i,a} - \eta_{ik}\widetilde{U}_{j,a} \\
[\mathcal{M}_{ab}\,,\,U_{i,c}] &= \epsilon_{cb}U_{i,a} + \epsilon_{ca}U_{i,b} & \left[\mathcal{M}_{ab}\,,\,\widetilde{U}_{i,c}\right] &= \epsilon_{cb}\widetilde{U}_{i,a} + \epsilon_{ca}\widetilde{U}_{i,b}
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.4c}
$$

$$
\left[U_{i,a}\,,\,\widetilde{U}_{j,b}\right] = \eta_{ij}\epsilon_{ab}\,\Delta - 2\,\epsilon_{ab}\mathcal{L}_{ij} - \eta_{ij}\mathcal{M}_{ab} \tag{2.4d}
$$

The above nonlinear realization has a geometric interpretation as the invariance group of a light-cone with respect to a quartic distance function in the space $\mathcal{T}$. The quartic norm of a vector $\mathcal{X} = (X, x)$ in the $(2d-3)$-dimensional space $\mathcal{T}$ is given as

$$
\mathcal{N}_4\left(\mathcal{X}\right) = \mathcal{I}_4\left(X\right) + 2\,x^2\,. \tag{2.5}
$$

and the quartic distance function between any two points $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ in $\mathcal{T}$ is then defined as follows: [17, 20]

$$
d\left(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}\right) = \mathcal{N}_4\left(\delta\left(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}\right)\right) \tag{2.6}
$$

where the "symplectic" difference $\delta\left(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}\right)$ is defined as

$$
\delta\left(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}\right) = \left(X^{i,a} - Y^{i,a}\,,\,x - y - \eta_{ij}\epsilon_{ab}\,X^{i,a}Y^{j,b}\right) = -\delta\left(\mathcal{Y},\mathcal{X}\right)\,. \tag{2.7}
$$

The lightlike separations between any two points with respect to this quartic distance function are left invariant under the quasiconformal group action of $SO(d,2)$ on $\mathcal{T}$.

3 Minimal unitary representation of $SO(d,2)$ from its quasiconformal realization

The "quantization" of the geometric quasiconformal realization of a noncompact group leads directly to its minimal unitary representation [17, 19, 16,

20, 64]. To "quantize" the quasiconformal realization of $SO(d,2)$, we split the $2(d-2)$ variables $X^{i,a}$ defined in section 2 into $(d-2)$ coordinates X^i and $(d-2)$ conjugate momenta P_i as

$$X^i = X^{i,1} \qquad\qquad P_i = \eta_{ij}\, X^{j,2} \tag{3.1}$$

and introduce a momentum p conjugate to the singlet coordinate x as well. Furthermore we treat them as quantum mechanical operators satisfying the canonical commutation relations

$$\left[X^i\,,\,P_j\right] = i\delta^i_j \qquad\qquad [x\,,\,p] = i\,. \tag{3.2}$$

Instead of the coordinates X^i and momenta P_i it will be convenient to work with bosonic oscillator annihilation operators a_i and creation operators $a_i^\dagger$, defined as

$$a_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(X^i + i\,P_i\right) \qquad\qquad a_i^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(X^i - i\,P_i\right) \tag{3.3}$$

They satisfy the commutation relations

$$\left[a_i\,,\,a_j^\dagger\right] = \delta_{ij} \qquad\qquad [a_i\,,\,a_j] = \left[a_i^\dagger\,,\,a_j^\dagger\right] = 0\,. \tag{3.4}$$

For the minimal unitary realization of $\mathfrak{so}(d,2)$ the 5-grading

$$\mathfrak{so}(d,2) = \mathfrak{g}^{(-2)} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{(-1)} \oplus [\,\Delta \oplus \mathfrak{so}(d-2)_L \oplus \mathfrak{su}(1,1)_M\,] \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{(+1)} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{+2)}$$

is determined by the $SO(1,1)$ generator

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{2}\left(xp + px\right)\,. \tag{3.5}$$

The generators of $\mathfrak{su}(1,1)_M \subset \mathfrak{g}^{(0)}$ are bilinears of the bosonic oscillators:

$$M_+ = \frac{1}{2}a_i^\dagger a_i^\dagger \qquad M_- = \frac{1}{2}a_i a_i \qquad M_0 = \frac{1}{4}\left(a_i^\dagger a_i + a_i a_i^\dagger\right) \tag{3.6}$$

and satisfy

$$[M_-\,,\,M_+] = 2\,M_0 \qquad\qquad [M_0\,,\,M_\pm] = \pm\,M_\pm\,. \tag{3.7}$$

Its quadratic Casimir $\mathcal{M}^2$ is given by :

$$\mathcal{C}_2\left[\mathfrak{su}(1,1)_M\right] = \mathcal{M}^2 = {M_0}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(M_+M_- + M_-M_+\right) \tag{3.8}$$

The subalgebra $\mathfrak{so}(d-2)_L \subset \mathfrak{g}^{(0)}$ is also realized as bilinears of the bosonic oscillators:

$$L_{ij} = i\left(a_i^\dagger a_j - a_j^\dagger a_i\right) \tag{3.9}$$

and satisfy the commutation relations

$$\left[L_{ij}\,,\,L_{kl}\right] = i\left(\delta_{jk}L_{il} - \delta_{ik}L_{jl} - \delta_{jl}L_{ik} + \delta_{il}L_{jk}\right)\,. \tag{3.10}$$

The Casimir $\mathcal{L}^2$ of $\mathfrak{so}(d-2)_L$

$$\mathcal{C}_2\left[\mathfrak{so}(d-2)_L\right] = \mathcal{L}^2 = L_{ij}L_{ij} \tag{3.11}$$

is related to the Casimir of $\mathfrak{su}(1,1)_M$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}^2 = 8\,\mathcal{M}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(d-2\right)\left(d-6\right)\,. \tag{3.12}$$

The generator in $\mathfrak{g}^{(-2)}$ is defined as

$$K_- = \frac{1}{2}x^2\,. \tag{3.13}$$

The generators $(U_i, U_i^\dagger)$ in grade -1 subspace are realized as bilinears of x and the bosonic oscillators

$$U_i = x\,a_i \qquad\qquad U_i^\dagger = x\,a_i^\dagger\,. \tag{3.14}$$

They close into K_- under commutation and form a Heisenberg subalgebra

$$\left[U_i\,,\,U_j^\dagger\right] = 2\,\delta_{ij}\,K_- \qquad\qquad \left[U_i\,,\,U_j\right] = \left[U_i^\dagger\,,\,U_j^\dagger\right] = 0 \tag{3.15}$$

with $K_- = \frac{1}{2}x^2$ playing the role of "central charge".

The quartic invariant $\mathcal{I}_4$ of $SO(d-2)_L \times SU(1,1)_M$ subgroup that enters the quasiconformal realization goes over to a linear function of the quadratic Casimir of $SO(d-2)_L \times SU(1,1)_M$ after "quantization". In particular the grade $+2$ generator K_+ becomes:

$$K_+ = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{1}{x^2}\mathcal{G} \tag{3.16}$$

with $\mathcal{G}$ given by the Casimir $\mathcal{L}^2$:

$$\mathcal{G} = \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{L}^2 + \frac{1}{8}\,(d-3)\,(d-5) = 2\mathcal{M}^2 + \frac{3}{8} \tag{3.17}$$

The generators $W_i, W_i^\dagger$ in grade $+1$ subspace are given by the commutators of the grade -1 generators with K_+:

$$\begin{aligned} W_i &= -i\,[U_i\,,\,K_+] = p\,a_i - \frac{i}{x}\left[\frac{1}{2}\,(d-3)\;a_i + i\,L_{ij}\,a_j\right] \\ W_i^\dagger &= -i\left[U_i^\dagger\,,\,K_+\right] = p\,a_i^\dagger - \frac{i}{x}\left[\frac{1}{2}\,(d-3)\;a_i^\dagger + i\,L_{ij}\,a_j^\dagger\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

The positive grade generators form a Heisenberg algebra as well:

$$\left[W_i\,,\,W_j^\dagger\right] = 2\,\delta_{ij}\,K_+ \qquad\qquad [W_i\,,\,W_j] = \left[W_i^\dagger\,,\,W_j^\dagger\right] = 0 \tag{3.19}$$

with the generator K_+ playing the role of central charge. The commutators of grade -2 and grade $+1$ generators close into grade -1 subspace:

$$[W_i\,,\,K_-] = -i\,U_i \qquad\qquad \left[W_i^\dagger\,,\,K_-\right] = -i\,U_i^\dagger \tag{3.20}$$

The generators $K_\pm$ and Δ form a distinguished $\mathfrak{su}(1,1)$ subalgebra labelled as $\mathfrak{su}(1,1)_K$:

$$[K_-\,,\,K_+] = i\,\Delta \qquad\qquad [\Delta\,,\,K_\pm] = \pm 2i\,K_\pm \tag{3.21}$$

Its quadratic Casimir

$$\mathcal{C}_2\,[\mathfrak{su}(1,1)_K] = \mathcal{K}^2 = \Delta^2 - 2\,(K_+K_- + K_-K_+) \tag{3.22}$$

is related to the quadratic Casimir of $\mathfrak{so}(d-2)_L$ (and that of $\mathfrak{su}(1,1)_M$) as follows

$$\mathcal{K}^2 = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}^2 - \frac{1}{4}\,(d-2)\,(d-6) = -4\,\mathcal{M}^2\,. \tag{3.23}$$

Grade ± 1 generators transform in the $(d-2,2)$ representation $SO(d-2)_L \times SU(1,1)_M$:

$$\begin{aligned} &[M_0\,,\,U_i] = -\frac{1}{2}\,U_i && [M_0\,,\,W_i] = -\frac{1}{2}\,W_i \\ &[M_+\,,\,U_i] = -U_i^\dagger && [M_+\,,\,W_i] = -W_i^\dagger \\ &[M_-\,,\,U_i] = 0 && [M_-\,,\,W_i] = 0 \\ &[L_{ij}\,,\,U_k] = i\,(\delta_{jk}U_i - \delta_{ik}U_j) && [L_{ij}\,,\,W_k] = i\,(\delta_{jk}W_i - \delta_{ik}W_j) \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big[M_0\,,\,U_i^\dagger\Big] &= \frac{1}{2}\,U_i^\dagger & \Big[M_0\,,\,W_i^\dagger\Big] &= \frac{1}{2}\,W_i^\dagger \\
\Big[M_+\,,\,U_i^\dagger\Big] &= 0 & \Big[M_+\,,\,W_i^\dagger\Big] &= 0 \\
\Big[M_-\,,\,U_i^\dagger\Big] &= U_i & \Big[M_-\,,\,W_i^\dagger\Big] &= W_i \\
\Big[L_{ij}\,,\,U_k^\dagger\Big] &= i\left(\delta_{jk}U_i^\dagger - \delta_{ik}U_j^\dagger\right) & \Big[L_{ij}\,,\,W_k^\dagger\Big] &= i\left(\delta_{jk}W_i^\dagger - \delta_{ik}W_j^\dagger\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

and close into the generators of $SO(d-2)_L \times SU(1,1)_M$:

$$\begin{aligned}
[U_i\,,\,W_j] &= 2i\,\delta_{ij}\,M_- & \Big[U_i^\dagger\,,\,W_j\Big] &= \delta_{ij}\;(2i\,M_0 - \Delta) + 2\,L_{ij} \\
\Big[U_i^\dagger\,,\,W_j^\dagger\Big] &= 2i\,\delta_{ij}\,M_+ & \Big[U_i\,,\,W_j^\dagger\Big] &= \delta_{ij}\;(2i\,M_0 + \Delta) - 2\,L_{ij}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

For the minimal unitary realization given above the quadratic Casimir of $\mathfrak{so}(d,2)$ turns out to be

$$\mathcal{C}_2\left[\mathfrak{so}(d,2)\right] = -\frac{1}{2}\left(d^2-4\right)\,. \tag{3.27}$$

The Lie algebra of $SO(d,2)$ admits a different 5-grading determined by the compact generator M_0 in addition to the 5-grading determined by the non-compact generator Δ. The resulting 5×5 grading is given in Table 1. We should note that the generators of $SO(d,2)$ given above are not all Hermitian. Since we are interested in unitary realizations one must go to a basis in which all the generators at Hermitian (anti-Hermitian) with pure imaginary (real) structure constants and determine the real form corresponding to the unitary realization , which in our case turns out to be $SO(d,2)$.

The little group of massless particles in d dimensional Minkowskian spacetime is the Euclidean group $E_{(d-2)}$ in $(d-2)$ dimensions acting on the transverse coordinates whose Lie algebra is the semi-direct sum

$$\mathfrak{e}_{(d-2)} = \mathfrak{so}(d-2)\,\circledS\,t_{(d-2)}$$

where $t_{(d-2)}$ denotes the generators of translations. There are two different such embeddings of the Euclidean group $E_{(d-2)}$, namely one acting on the transverse coordinates X_i and the other acting on the transverse momenta P_i inside the quasiconformal realization of $SO(d,2)$ which we shall denote as $E^X_{(d-2)}$ and $E^P_{(d-2)}$, respectively. Their generators are

$$E^X_{(d-2)} \Longrightarrow [L_{ij} \oplus T_i^X] \tag{3.28}$$

$$E^P_{(d-2)} \Longrightarrow [L_{ij} \oplus T_i^P] \tag{3.29}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & K_- & & \\ & U_i & \Big| & U_i^\dagger & \\ M_- & - - - & (\Delta \oplus L_{ij} \oplus M_0) & - - - & M_+ \\ & W_i & \Big| & W_i^\dagger & \\ & & K_+ & & \end{array}$$

Table 1: Above we give the 5×5 grading of the Lie algebra of $\mathfrak{so}(d,2)$. The vertical 5-grading is determined by Δ and the horizontal 5-grading is determined by M_0.

where

$$T_i^X = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(U_i^\dagger - U_i) = xP_i$$

and

$$T_i^P = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(U_i^\dagger + U_i) = xX_i$$

Both of these Euclidean subgroups have extensions to conformal groups $SO(d-1,1)$ in $(d-2)$ Euclidean dimensions as subgroups of $SO(d,2)$, which we denote as $SO^X(d-1,1)$ and $SO^P(d-1,1)$. The Lie algebras of these Euclidean conformal subgroups in $(d-2)$ dimensions have the standard three graded decompositions:

$$\mathfrak{so}^X(d-1,1) = K_i^X \oplus (L_{ij} + D^X) \oplus T_i^X \tag{3.30}$$

$$\mathfrak{so}^P(d-1,1) = K_i^P \oplus (L_{ij} + D^P) \oplus T_i^P \tag{3.31}$$

where D^X and D^P are the the generators of respective scale transormations that determine the 3-grading given by

$$D^X = \tfrac{1}{2}[\Delta - i(M_+ - M_-)] = \tfrac{1}{2}(xp + px) + \tfrac{1}{2}(X_iP_i + P_iX_i) \tag{3.32}$$

$$D^P = \tfrac{1}{2}[\Delta + i(M_+ - M_-)] = \tfrac{1}{2}(xp + px) - \tfrac{1}{2}(X_iP_i + P_iX_i) \tag{3.33}$$

The special conformal generators K_i^X and K_i^P acting on transverse coordinates X_i and momenta P_i are given by

$$K_i^X = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(W_i + W_i^\dagger) = p\,X_i - \tfrac{i}{x}\left(\tfrac{(d-3)}{2}X_i + iP_iX_jX_j - iP_jX_jX_i\right) \tag{3.34}$$

$$K_i^P = \tfrac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(W_i^\dagger - W_i) = p\,P_i - \tfrac{i}{x}\left(\tfrac{(d-3)}{2}P_i - iX_iP_jP_j + iX_jP_jP_i\right) \tag{3.35}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & K_- & & & \\ & & T_i^P & | & & T_i^X & \\ L_- & --- & (\Delta \oplus L_{ij} \oplus L_0) & --- & L_+ \\ & & K_i^X & | & & K_i^P & \\ & & & K_+ & & & \end{array}$$

Table 2: Above we give the 5×5 grading of the Lie algebra of $\mathfrak{so}(d,2)$ in an Hermitian basis. The vertical 5-grading is determined by Δ and the horizontal 5-grading is determined by L_0.

They satisfy the commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [T_i^X, K_j^X] &= -2i\delta_{ij}D^X + 2iL_{ij} && (3.36)\\ [T_i^P, K_j^P] &= 2i\delta_{ij}D^P - 2iL_{ij} && (3.37)\\ [T_i^X, K_j^P] &= iL_+ && (3.38)\\ [T_i^P, K_j^X] &= iL_- && (3.39)\\ & && (3.40) \end{aligned}$$

where $L_+ = P_iP_i$ and $L_- = X_iX_i$. We have a 5-grading of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(d,2)$ with respect to the generator $L_0 = \frac{1}{2}(D^X - D^P) = X_iP_i + P_iX_i$ which together with Δ defines a 5×5 grading as indicated in Table 2.

Therefore the quasiconformal realization of $SO(d,2)$ can be interpreted as the minimal Lie algebra containing the Euclidean conformal Lie algebra acting on transverse coordinates and the dual Euclidean conformal Lie algebra acting on the corresponding transverse momenta. The common subgroup of these two Euclidean conformal groups is $SO(d-2)$.

4 3-grading of $\mathfrak{so}(d,2)$ as a conformal algebra in d-dimensions

The conformal group $SO(d,2)$ in d-dimensional Minkowskian space-time are generated by translations ($\mathcal{P}_\mu$), special conformal generators ($\mathcal{K}_\mu$) and Lorentz ($\mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu}$) and scale tranformations ($\mathcal{D}$). Its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(d,2)$ has a 3-grading determined by the generator $\mathcal{D}$ of scale transformations (dilata-

tions):

$$\mathfrak{so}(d,2) = \mathcal{K}_\mu \oplus (\mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{D}) \oplus \mathcal{P}_\mu \tag{4.1}$$

In terms of the generators given in the previous section the dilatation generator is given by

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\Delta - i\,(M_+ - M_-)\right] . \tag{4.2}$$

and the Lorentz generators $\mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu}$ $(\mu,\nu = 0,\ldots,d-1)$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{0i} &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\left(U_i + U_i^\dagger\right) + \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}\left(W_i - W_i^\dagger\right), \qquad \mathcal{M}_{ij} = L_{ij} \\
\mathcal{M}_{i,d-1} &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\left(U_i + U_i^\dagger\right) - \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}\left(W_i - W_i^\dagger\right), \qquad \mathcal{M}_{0,d-1} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\Delta + i\,(M_+ - M_-)\right]
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where $i,j,... = 1,2,...,(d-2)$.

The translation $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ and special conformal generators $\mathcal{K}_\mu$ $(\mu = 0,\ldots,d-1)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_0 &= K_+ + M_0 + \frac{1}{2}\,(M_+ + M_-) \\
\mathcal{P}_i &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(W_i + W_i^\dagger\right) \qquad\qquad (i = 1,\ldots,d-2) \\
\mathcal{P}_{d-1} &= K_+ - M_0 - \frac{1}{2}\,(M_+ + M_-)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}_0 &= K_- + M_0 - \frac{1}{2}\,(M_+ + M_-) \\
\mathcal{K}_i &= -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\left(U_i - U_i^\dagger\right) \qquad\qquad (i = 1,\ldots,d-2) \\
\mathcal{K}_{d-1} &= -K_- + M_0 - \frac{1}{2}\,(M_+ + M_-) .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

They satisfy the commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu}\,,\,\mathcal{M}_{\rho\tau}] &= i\,(\eta_{\nu\rho}\mathcal{M}_{\mu\tau} - \eta_{\mu\rho}\mathcal{M}_{\nu\tau} - \eta_{\nu\tau}\mathcal{M}_{\mu\rho} + \eta_{\mu\tau}\mathcal{M}_{\nu\rho}) \\
[\mathcal{P}_\mu\,,\,\mathcal{M}_{\nu\rho}] &= i\,(\eta_{\mu\nu}\,\mathcal{P}_\rho - \eta_{\mu\rho}\,\mathcal{P}_\nu) \\
[\mathcal{K}_\mu\,,\,\mathcal{M}_{\nu\rho}] &= i\,(\eta_{\mu\nu}\,\mathcal{K}_\rho - \eta_{\mu\rho}\,\mathcal{K}_\nu) \\
[\mathcal{D}\,,\,\mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu}] &= [\mathcal{P}_\mu\,,\,\mathcal{P}_\nu] = [\mathcal{K}_\mu\,,\,\mathcal{K}_\nu] = 0 \\
[\mathcal{D}\,,\,\mathcal{P}_\mu] &= +i\,\mathcal{P}_\mu \qquad\qquad [\mathcal{D}\,,\,\mathcal{K}_\mu] = -i\,\mathcal{K}_\mu \\
[\mathcal{P}_\mu\,,\,\mathcal{K}_\nu] &= 2i\,(\eta_{\mu\nu}\,\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu})
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{diag}(-,+,\ldots,+)$ is the Minkoswki metric in d dimensions. The Poincaré mass operator in d dimensions vanishes identically

$$\mathscr{M}^2 = \eta_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{P}^\mu\mathcal{P}^\nu = 0 \tag{4.7}$$

Similarly one finds that the square of special conformal generator $\mathcal{K}_\mu$ vanishes identically as well

$$\eta^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{K}_\mu\mathcal{K}_\nu = 0\,. \tag{4.8}$$

5 3-grading of $\mathfrak{so}(d,2)$ with respect to its maximal compact subalgebra $\mathfrak{so}(d)\oplus\mathfrak{so}(2)$

The generators $C_{MN}\oplus H$ $(M,N=1,\ldots,d)$ of the maximal compact subalgebra $\mathfrak{so}(d)\oplus\mathfrak{so}(2)$ of $\mathfrak{so}(d,2)$ can be expressed in terms of the Lorentz covariant generators $\mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu},\mathcal{D},\mathcal{K}_\mu$ and $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ as follows

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{P}_0+\mathcal{K}_0) = \frac{1}{2}\,(K_+ + K_-) + M_0\,. \tag{5.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{ij} &= L_{ij} & C_{i,d-1} &= \mathcal{M}_{i,d-1} \\ C_{d-1,d} &= \frac{1}{2}\,(\mathcal{P}_{d-1}-\mathcal{K}_{d-1}) & C_{i,d} &= \frac{1}{2}\,(\mathcal{P}_i-\mathcal{K}_i) \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

They satisfy the commutation relations

$$[C_{MN}\,,\,C_{PQ}] = i\,(\delta_{NP}C_{MQ} - \delta_{MP}C_{NQ} - \delta_{NQ}C_{MP} + \delta_{MQ}C_{NP})\,. \tag{5.3}$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(d,2)$ admits a "compact" 3-grading determined by the $SO(2)$ generator H (conformal hamiltonian):

$$\mathfrak{so}(d,2) = C_M^- \oplus (C_{MN}+H)\oplus C_M^+\,. \tag{5.4}$$

where the grade $+1$ generators C_M^+ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} C_i^+ &= \mathcal{M}_{0i} - \frac{i}{2}(\mathcal{K}_i+\mathcal{P}_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(U_i^\dagger - i\,W_i^\dagger\right) \qquad\qquad (i=1,\ldots,d-2) \\ C_{d-1}^+ &= \mathcal{M}_{0,(d-1)} - \frac{i}{2}(\mathcal{P}_{(d-1)}+\mathcal{K}_{(d-1)}) = \frac{1}{2}\,[\Delta - i\,(K_+-K_-)] + i\,M_+ \\ C_d^+ &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{P}_0-\mathcal{K}_0) + i\mathcal{D} = \frac{i}{2}\,[\Delta - i\,(K_+-K_-)] + M_+\,. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

The grade -1 generators C^-_M $(M, N, \cdots = 1, \ldots, d)$ are Hermitian conjugates of the grade $+1$ generators C^+_M :

$$\begin{aligned} C^-_i &= \mathcal{M}_{0i} + \frac{i}{2}(\mathcal{K}_i + \mathcal{P}_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,(U_i + i\,W_i) \qquad\qquad (i = 1, \ldots, d-2) \\ C^-_{d-1} &= \mathcal{M}_{0,(d-1)} + \frac{i}{2}(\mathcal{P}_{(d-1)} + \mathcal{K}_{(d-1)}) = \frac{1}{2}\,[\Delta + i\,(K_+ - K_-)] - i\,M_- \\ C^-_d &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{P}_0 - \mathcal{K}_0) - i\mathcal{D} = -\frac{i}{2}\,[\Delta + i\,(K_+ - K_-)] + M_-\,. \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

They satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \left[C^+_M\,,\,C_{NP}\right] &= i\left(\delta_{MN}\,C^+_P - \delta_{MP}\,C^+_N\right) \\ \left[C^-_M\,,\,C_{NP}\right] &= i\left(\delta_{MN}\,C^-_P - \delta_{MP}\,C^-_N\right) \\ [H\,,\,C_{MN}] &= \left[C^+_M\,,\,C^+_N\right] = \left[C^-_M\,,\,C^-_N\right] = 0 \\ \left[H\,,\,C^+_M\right] &= +C^+_M \qquad\qquad \left[H\,,\,C^-_M\right] = -C^-_M \\ \left[C^+_M\,,\,C^-_N\right] &= 2\,(-\delta_{MN}\,H + i\,C_{MN}) \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

6 Hilbert Space of the Minimal Unitary Representation

The conformal Hamiltonian (or AdS energy operator) H, given in equation (5.1), can be written as the sum of Hamiltonians H_i of $(d-2)$ bosonic oscillators (a_i) and the Hamiltonian $H_\odot$ of a singular oscillator:

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{1}{2}\,(K_+ + K_-) + M_0 \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\left(x^2 + p^2\right) + \frac{1}{2\,x^2}\mathcal{G} + \frac{1}{4}\sum_i\,\left(a^\dagger_i a_i + a_i a^\dagger_i\right) \\ &= H_\odot + \sum_i H_i \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

where

$$H_\odot = \frac{1}{2}\,(K_+ + K_-) = \frac{1}{4}\left(x^2 + p^2\right) + \frac{1}{2\,x^2}\mathcal{G} \qquad , \qquad H_i = \frac{1}{2}\,a^\dagger_i a_i + \frac{1}{4} \quad , (\text{no sum}) \tag{6.2}$$

$H_\odot$ is the Hamiltonian of a singular harmonic oscillator. The role of the coupling constant for the singular potential

$$V(x) = \frac{\mathcal{G}}{x^2} \tag{6.3}$$

is played by the operator $\mathcal{G}$ given in equation (3.17). The Hamiltonian has the same form as in conformal quantum mechanics of [65] as well as in the Calogero models [66, 67]. It generates the compact $U(1)$ subgroup of the one-dimensional conformal group $SO(2,1) = SU(1,1)_K$ acting on the singlet coordinate x. The other generators of $SU(1,1)_K$ in the compact three grading with respect to $H_\odot$ are given by the following linear combinations

$$\begin{aligned} B^+_\odot &= -\frac{i}{2}\left[\Delta - i\,(K_+ - K_-)\right] \\ B^-_\odot &= \frac{i}{2}\left[\Delta + i\,(K_+ - K_-)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

They satisfy the commutation relations:

$$\left[B^-_\odot\,,\,B^+_\odot\right] = 2\,H_\odot \qquad \left[H_\odot\,,\,B^+_\odot\right] = B^+_\odot \qquad \left[H_\odot\,,\,B^-_\odot\right] = -\,B^-_\odot \tag{6.5}$$

As a basis for the Hilbert space of the minimal unitary representation of $SO(d,2)$ we shall consider states which are "twisted tensor products" of the states of the Fock space $\mathcal{F}$ of $(d-2)$ bosonic oscillators a_i with the states of the singular oscillator that furnish a unitary representation of $SU(1,1)$[5] . The Fock space of the a-type oscillators is spanned by the states of the form

$$|n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{d-2}\rangle = \prod_i \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_i!}}\,(\,a_i^\dagger\,)^{n_i}\,|0\rangle \tag{6.6}$$

where n_i are non-negative integers and $|0\rangle$ is the corresponding Fock vacuum.

In the coordinate representation the state(s) with the lowest eigenvalue of the conformal Hamiltonian $H_\odot$ are wave-functions of the form

$$\psi_0^{\alpha_{g_0}}(x) = C_0\,x^{\alpha_{g_0}}\,e^{-x^2/2} \tag{6.7}$$

[5]We use the term twisted tensor product since the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian of the singular oscillator depend on the states of the Fock space $\mathcal{F}$.

where C_0 is a normalization constant ,g_0 is the lowest eigenvalue of $\mathcal{G}$ and

$$\alpha_{g_0} = \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{2g_0 + \frac{1}{4}}\,. \tag{6.8}$$

The Fock vacuum $|0\rangle$ is the eigenstate of $\mathcal{G}$ with the lowest eigenvalue g_0. For the minrep of $SO(d,2)$ given above, the lowest possible value of g_0 is

$$g_0 = \frac{1}{8}\,(d-3)\,(d-5) \tag{6.9}$$

Denoting the state corresponding to the wave function $\psi_0^{\alpha_{g_0}}(x)$ with the Fock vacuum $|0\rangle$ as $|\psi_0^{\alpha_{g_0}}, 0\rangle$ we have

$$B_\odot^- \,|\psi_0^{\alpha_{g_0}}, 0\rangle = 0\,. \tag{6.10}$$

The Hermiticity of $H_\odot$ implies

$$g_0 \geq -\frac{1}{8} \tag{6.11}$$

and the normalizability of $|\psi_0^{\alpha_{g_0}}, 0\rangle$ requires

$$\alpha_{g_0} > -\frac{1}{2}\,. \tag{6.12}$$

The lowest eigenvalue g_0 leads to two possible values for α_{g_0} namely $(5-d)/2$ and $(d-3)/2$. However, the normalizability of the states in representation space requires one to choose [64]:

$$\alpha_{g_0} = \frac{(d-3)}{2}\,. \tag{6.13}$$

The corresponding tensor product state $|\psi_0^{(d-3)/2}, 0\rangle$ is an eigenstate of $H_\odot$ with the lowest eigenvalue $E_\odot^{\alpha_{g_0}} = \frac{1}{4}\,(d-2)$:

$$H_\odot |\psi_0^{(d-3)/2}, 0\rangle = \frac{1}{4}\,(d-2)\,|\psi_0^{(d-3)/2}, 0\rangle\,. \tag{6.14}$$

The state $|\psi_0^{(d-3)/2}(x)\ , 0\rangle$ is the unique state annihilated by all the grade -1 generators C_M^- and transforms as a singlet of $SO(d)$ subgroup. This shows that the minrep is a unitary lowest weight (positive energy) representation. Furthermore it is annihilated by all the translation generators U_i of the little group $SO(d-2)\circledS T_{(d-2)}$ of massless particles in d dimensions. Therefore the minrep of $SO(d,2)$ describes a massless conformal scalar field in d dimensional Minkowskian spacetime. We refer to [64] for the K-type decomposition of the minrep of $SO(d,2)$ with respect to its maximal compact subgroup.

7 Deformations of the minimal unitary representation of $SO(d,2)$ and massless conformal fields

The minrep of $SO(d,2)$ describes a conformally massless scalar field in d dimensions. In this section we shall review the "deformations" of the minrep and establish their one-to-one correspondence with conformally massless fields in d dimensional Minkoswkian spacetimes[64]. By a deformation we mean adding spin terms S_{ij} of the orbital generators L_{ij} of the little group $SO(d-2)$ of massless particles such that all the Jacobi identities are satisfied.[6]:

$$L_{ij} \longrightarrow J_{ij} = L_{ij} + S_{ij} \tag{7.1}$$

This requirement leads to following expression for the generator K_+ of the deformed minrep:

$$K_+ = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{1}{x^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{J}^2 - \frac{1}{4}\,\mathcal{L}^2 - \frac{(d-6)}{2(d-2)}\,\mathcal{S}^2 + \frac{1}{8}\,(d-3)\,(d-5)\right) . \tag{7.2}$$

where $\mathcal{J}^2 = J_{ij}J_{ij}$ and $\mathcal{S}^2 = S_{ij}S_{ij}$. Under the deformation the generators $M_{\pm,0}$ and Δ in grade 0 subspace, U_i and $U_i^\dagger$ in grade -1 subspace, and K_- in grade -2 subspace of $\mathfrak{so}(d,2)$ remain unchanged. The grade $+1$ generators W_i and $W_i^\dagger$ get modified as follows: :

$$\begin{aligned} W_i &= p\,a_i - \frac{i}{x}\left[\frac{1}{2}\,(d-3)\,a_i + i\,(L_{ij} + 2\,S_{ij})\,a_j\right] \\ W_i^\dagger &= p\,a_i^\dagger - \frac{i}{x}\left[\frac{1}{2}\,(d-3)\,a_i^\dagger + i\,(L_{ij} + 2\,S_{ij})\,a_j^\dagger\right] \end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

Furthermore the spin generators S_{ij} are required to satisfy the constraint:

$$\Delta_{ij} = S_{ik}S_{jk} + S_{jk}S_{ik} - \frac{2}{(d-2)}\,\mathcal{S}^2\,\delta_{ij} = 0 \tag{7.4}$$

which turn out to be the same constraint satisfied by little group generators of massless representations of the Poincaré group that extend to unitary representations of the conformal group in d dimensions [68, 69]. This correspondence between massless conformal fields in d dimensions and the minrep of

[6] For $d = 4$ the little group is $U(1)$ and deformations are parametrized by helicity which can take on continuous values [21]. This explains the origin of the term "deformation" which has been used in all dimensions later.

$SO(d,2)$ and its deformations were established earlier for dimensions $d = 4, 5$ and 6 in [21, 22, 23, 63]. More recently this one-to-one correspondence was extended to all spacetime dimensions in [64]. We should note that each deformation leads to a different unitary realization of the $SU(1,1)_K$ subgroup and thus establishes a correspondence between massless conformal fields in any dimension and the Calogero models.

For the deformed minrep one finds the following expressions for the Casimirs of various subalgebras

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_2\left[\mathfrak{so}(d-2)_J\right] &= J_{ij}J_{ij} = \mathcal{J}^2 \\
\mathcal{C}_2\left[\mathfrak{su}(1,1)_M\right] &= {M_0}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(M_+M_- + M_-M_+\right) = \mathcal{M}^2 = \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{L}^2 + \frac{1}{16}\left(d-2\right)\left(d-6\right) \\
\mathcal{C}_2\left[\mathfrak{su}(1,1)_K\right] &= \Delta^2 - 2\left(K_+K_- + K_-K_+\right) = \mathcal{K}^2 \\
&= -\mathcal{J}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}^2 + \frac{(d-6)}{(d-2)}\mathcal{S}^2 - \frac{1}{4}\left(d-2\right)\left(d-6\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{7.5}$$

The coset $SO(d,2)/SO(d-2)\times SO(2,2)$ generators satisfy

$$[UW] = U_iW_i^\dagger + W_i^\dagger U_i - U_i^\dagger W_i - W_iU_i^\dagger = 2i\left(\mathcal{J}^2 - \mathcal{S}^2\right) + i\left(d-2\right)^2 \tag{7.6}$$

leading to following expression for the quadratic Casimir of $\mathfrak{so}(d,2)$

$$\mathcal{C}_2\left[\mathfrak{so}(d,2)\right] = \frac{(d+2)}{(d-2)}\mathcal{S}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(d^2-4\right)\,. \tag{7.7}$$

which agrees with the quadratic Casimir of the undeformed minrep of $\mathfrak{so}(d,2)$ when $\mathcal{S}^2 = 0$. The minrep and its deformations are unitary lowest weight (positive energy) representations of $SO(d,2)$ that are uniquely determined by the lowest energy irrep of $SO(d)$ subgroup. Therefore we shall label them as

$$|E_0, (n_1, \cdots n_r)\rangle$$

where E_0 is the eigenvalue of H and $(n_1, \cdots n_r)$ are the Dynkin labels of lowest energy irrep of $SO(d)$.

7.1 Deformations in odd dimensions

It is well-known that the AdS_4/CFT_3 Lie algebra $SO(3,2)$ is isomorphic to the symplectic Lie algebra $Sp(4,\mathbb{R})$ whose minimal unitary representation

that describes a conformal scalar admits a single deformation which describes a conformal spinor in 3 dimensions. They are simply the scalar and spinor singletons of Dirac[70] which describe the only conformally massless fields in $d = 3$. Similarly, the minrep of AdS_6/CFT_5 group $SO(5,2)$ admits a unique deformation[63]. The minrep of $SO(5,2)$ and its deformation are the analogs of Dirac singletons and decribe conformally massless scalar and spinor fields in $d = 5$. This phenomenon extends to all conformal algebras $\mathfrak{so}(d,2)$ in odd dimensions as a consequence of the fact that the constraint 7.4 for spin terms S_{ij} of $SO(d-2)$ that determine the deformations of the minrep has a unique non-trivial solution for odd d. It is simply the $2^{\left(\frac{d-3}{2}\right)}$ dimensional spinor irrep of $SO(d-2)$ generated by

$$S_{ij} = \frac{1}{4}[\gamma_i, \gamma_j]\,. \tag{7.8}$$

where γ_i are the $2^n \times 2^n$ $(n = (d-3)/2)$ Euclidean Dirac gamma matrices in $(d-2)$ dimensions. The minrep and its unique deformations describe the conformally massless scalar and spinor fields. They exhaust the list of conformally masless fields for odd d[69]. The lowest energy irrep of the minrep has the labels

$$|\frac{(d-2)}{2}, (0, \cdots, 0)_D\rangle$$

while the unique spinorial deformation of the minrep has the lowest energy irrep

$$|\frac{(d-1)}{2}, (0, \cdots, 0, 1)_D\rangle$$

7.2 Deformations in even dimensions

The minrep of the conformal group in four dimensions admits a one parameter family of deformations labelled by helicity[21]. For integer and half integer values of helicity the resulting unitary representations are isomorphic to the doubleton representations that describe massless conformal fields of arbitrary spin in four dimensions that were constructed and studied in[24, 26, 25]. Similarly one finds that the minrep of $SO(6,2)$ admits a discrete infinite family of deformations[22, 23] that are isomorphic to doubleton representations that describe higher spin massless conformal fields in six dimensions studied earlier in [27, 71, 58].

In contrast to odd dimensions, the constraints on the spin terms that describe the deformations of the minrep of $SO(d,2)$ for even d admit an infinite set of solutions that describe conformally massless fields. They exhaust the list of conformally massless fields as a consequence of the fact that 7.4 is a necessary and sufficient condition for a massless representation of the Poincare group to be extendable to a unitary representation of the conformal group[68, 69]. These deformations are all unitary lowest weight representations of $SO(d,2)$ whose lowest energy irreps have the $SO(2) \times SO(d)$ labels[64]

$$|E_0 = \frac{(d+s-2)}{2}; (0, \cdots, 0, 0, s)_D\rangle$$

or

$$|E_0 = \frac{(d+s-2)}{2}; (0, \cdots, 0, s, 0)_D\rangle$$

where s is a non-negative integer. The spinorial generators S_{ij} $(i, j, \cdots = 1, \ldots, (d-2)$) can always be realized in terms of fermionic oscillators[64].

8 Quasiconformal construction of higher spin algebras

8.1 Bosonic $AdS_{(d+1)}/CFT_d$ higher spin algebras

To construct the $AdS(d+1)/CFT_d$ higher spin algebra , let us first express the $\mathfrak{so}(d,2)$ generators in the "canonical basis" M_{AB} $(A, B = 0, 1, \ldots, d+1)$ in terms of the generators of the compact 3-grading

$$\begin{aligned} M_{0M} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(C_M^+ + C_M^-\right) & M_{0,d+1} &= H \\ M_{MN} &= C_{MN} & M_{M,d+1} &= \frac{i}{2}\left(C_M^+ - C_M^-\right) \end{aligned} \tag{8.1}$$

They satisfy the commutation relations:

$$[M_{AB}\,,\, M_{CD}] = i\left(\eta_{BC}M_{AD} - \eta_{AC}M_{BD} - \eta_{BD}M_{AC} + \eta_{AD}M_{BC}\right) \tag{8.2}$$

where $\eta_{AB} = \mathrm{diag}\,(-,+,\ldots,+,-)$ is the $SO(d,2)$ invariant metric.

In terms of the Lorentz covariant generators $\mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu}$, $\mathcal{P}_\mu$, $\mathcal{K}_\mu$ $(\mu, \nu = 0, \ldots, d-1)$, the generators M_{AB} take the form:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mu\nu} &= \mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu} & M_{\mu,d+1} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{P}_\mu + \mathcal{K}_\mu\right) \\ M_{\mu,d} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{P}_\mu - \mathcal{K}_\mu\right) & M_{d,d+1} &= -\mathcal{D} \end{aligned} \tag{8.3}$$

The $AdS_{(d+1)}/CFT_d$ higher spin algebra is simply the quotient of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathscr{U}(d,2)$ of $SO(d,2)$ by its Joseph ideal $\mathscr{J}(d,2)$ [52, 46, 60, 72, 61, 62, 63]. We recall that the Joseph ideal of the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra is a two-sided ideal that annihilates its minimal unitary representation. In fact , in the mathematics literature minrep is defined by this property. We shall denote the corresponding $AdS_{(d+1)}/CFT_d$ higher spin algebra as $hs(d,2)$:

$$hs(d,2) = \frac{\mathscr{U}(d,2)}{\mathscr{J}(d,2)} \tag{8.4}$$

In terms of M_{AB} the explicit expression for the generators J_{ABCD} of the Joseph ideal of $SO(d,2)$ was given in [72]:

$$\begin{aligned} J_{ABCD} &= M_{AB}M_{CD} - M_{AB} \circledcirc M_{CD} - \frac{1}{2}\left[M_{AB}\,,\, M_{CD}\right] + \frac{(d-2)}{4d(d+1)}\langle M_{AB}, M_{CD}\rangle\, \mathbf{1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}M_{AB}\cdot M_{CD} - M_{AB} \circledcirc M_{CD} + \frac{(d-2)}{4d(d+1)}\langle M_{AB}, M_{CD}\rangle\, \mathbf{1}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{8.5}$$

where the symbol $\cdot$ denotes the symmetric product

$$M_{AB}\cdot M_{CD} = M_{AB}M_{CD} + M_{CD}M_{AB}\,, \tag{8.6}$$

and the symbol $\circledcirc$ denotes the Cartan product of two generators of $\mathfrak{so}(d,2)$ [73]:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{AB} \circledcirc M_{CD} = &\frac{1}{3}M_{AB}M_{CD} + \frac{1}{3}M_{DC}M_{BA} \\ &+ \frac{1}{6}M_{AC}M_{BD} - \frac{1}{6}M_{AD}M_{BC} + \frac{1}{6}M_{DB}M_{CA} - \frac{1}{6}M_{CB}M_{DA} \\ &- \frac{1}{2d}\left(\eta_{BD}M_{AE}M_{C}{}^{E} - \eta_{AD}M_{BE}M_{C}{}^{E} + \eta_{AC}M_{BE}M_{D}{}^{E} - \eta_{BC}M_{AE}M_{D}{}^{E}\right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2d}\left(\eta_{BD}M_{CE}M_{A}{}^{E} - \eta_{AD}M_{CE}M_{B}{}^{E} + \eta_{AC}M_{DE}M_{B}{}^{E} - \eta_{BC}M_{DE}M_{A}{}^{E}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{d(d+1)}M_{EF}M^{EF}\left(\eta_{AC}\eta_{BD} - \eta_{BC}\eta_{AD}\right)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{8.7}$$

The bilinear product $\langle M_{AB}, M_{CD}\rangle$ is defined by the Killing form of $SO(d,2)$:

$$\langle M_{AB}, M_{CD}\rangle = -\frac{2d}{(d^2-4)}\, M_{EF}M_{GH}\left(\eta^{EG}\eta^{FH} - \eta^{EH}\eta^{FG}\right)\left(\eta_{AC}\eta_{BD} - \eta_{AD}\eta_{BC}\right) \tag{8.8}$$

Under the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{so}(d,2)$ the decomposition of the enveloping algebra $\mathscr{U}(d,2)$ is given by the symmetrized products of the adjoint representation with Young tableau

$$M_{AB} \sim \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \ . \tag{8.9}$$

The symmetrized tensor product of two copies of the adjoint representation decomposes as:

$$\left(\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \otimes \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \right)_S = \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{|c|}\hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \\ \hline \end{array} \oplus \bullet \tag{8.10}$$

where $\bullet$ represents the quadratic Casimir of $SO(d,2)$. As was shown by Vasiliev [46], the higher spin gauge fields in $AdS_{(d+1)}$ transform in the traceless two-row Young tableaux representations of $SO(d,2)$:

$$\underbrace{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline & & & & \cdots & & \\ \hline & & & & \cdots & & \\ \hline \end{array}}_{n \text{ boxes}} \tag{8.11}$$

Therefore one has to mod out all the representations on the right hand side of equation (8.10) except for the window diagram. Quotienting the enveloping algebra by the Joseph ideal does precisely this and the generators of the resulting infinite higher spin algebra transform under $\mathfrak{so}(d,2)$ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \oplus \ ... \ \oplus \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline & & & & \cdots & & \\ \hline & & & & \cdots & & \\ \hline \end{array} \oplus \ \ldots \tag{8.12}$$

For the minimal unitary realization of $SO(d,2)$ obtained via the quasiconformal method the generators J_{ABCD} of the Joseph ideal vanish identically as operators. For $d = 3, 4, 6$ dimensions this was established in [61, 62]. These results were extended to $d = 5$ in [63] and to general $d > 6$ in[64]. Hence the enveloping algebra of the minrep of $SO(d,2)$ that results from quantization of its geometric quasiconformal action yields directly the bosonic higher spin $AdS_{(d+1)}/\mathrm{Conf}_d$ algebra in all dimensions.

8.2 Deformations and supersymmetric extensions of $AdS_{(d+1)}/CFT_d$ higher spin algebras

The minimal unitary representation of $SO(d,2)$ and its deformations as obtained via the quasiconformal approach are in one-to-one correspondence

with conformally massless fields in d-dimensional Minkowskian spacetimes. Therefore one defines the *deformations* of the bosonic $AdS_{(d+1)}/CFT_d$ higher spin algebras as enveloping algebras of the deformed minimal unitary representations[61, 62, 63, 64]. These deformed higher spin algebras can be interpreted as quotients of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathscr{U}(d,2)$ of $SO(d,2)$ by a deformed Joseph ideal $\mathscr{J}_{Def}(d,2)$:

$$hs(d,2)_{Def} = \frac{\mathscr{U}(d,2)}{\mathscr{J}_{Def}(d,2)} \tag{8.13}$$

The deformations of the Joseph ideal are best studied by decomposing the generators J_{ABCD} of the Joseph ideal with respect to the Lorentz group $SO(d-1,1)$ as was done in [61, 62, 63, 64]. Vanishing of the generators J_{ABCD} as operators within quasiconformal realization of the minrep are equivalent to the following Lorentz covariant conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
d\,\mathcal{D}\cdot\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{M}^{\mu\nu}\cdot M_{\mu\nu} + \frac{(d-2)}{2}\,\mathcal{P}^{\mu}\cdot\mathcal{K}_{\mu} &= 0\\
\mathcal{P}^{\mu}\cdot(\mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{\mu\nu}\,\mathcal{D}) &= 0\\
\mathcal{K}^{\mu}\cdot(\mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu}\,\mathcal{D}) &= 0\\
\eta^{\mu\nu}\,\mathcal{M}_{\mu\rho}\cdot\mathcal{M}_{\nu\sigma} - \mathcal{P}_{(\rho}\cdot\mathcal{K}_{\sigma)} + (d-2)\,\eta_{\rho\sigma} &= 0\\
\mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu}\cdot\mathcal{M}_{\rho\sigma} + \mathcal{M}_{\mu\sigma}\cdot\mathcal{M}_{\nu\rho} + \mathcal{M}_{\mu\rho}\cdot\mathcal{M}_{\sigma\nu} &= 0\\
\mathcal{D}\cdot\mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{P}_{[\mu}\cdot\mathcal{K}_{\nu]} &= 0\\
\mathcal{M}_{[\mu\nu}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\rho]} &= 0\\
\mathcal{M}_{[\mu\nu}\cdot\mathcal{K}_{\rho]} &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{8.14}$$

where symmetrizations (round brackets) and anti-symmetrizations (square brackets) are of weight one.

Under deformation some of the generators of $SO(d,2)$ get modified by spin dependent terms. More specifically only the first three identities above remain unchanged and the momentum and special conformal generators remain lightlike under deformations:

$$P^2 = \mathcal{P}^{\mu}\mathcal{P}_{\mu} = 0 \quad , \quad K^2 = \mathcal{K}^{\mu}\mathcal{K}_{\mu} = 0 \tag{8.15}$$

The fourth identity above takes the form[64]

$$\eta^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{M}_{\mu\rho}\cdot\mathcal{M}_{\nu\sigma} - \mathcal{P}_{(\rho}\cdot\mathcal{K}_{\sigma)} - \left[\frac{2}{(d-2)}\mathcal{S}^2 - (d-2)\right]\eta_{\rho\sigma} = 0 \tag{8.16}$$

where $\mathcal{S}^2$ is the Casimir operator of the little group $SO(d-2)_S$ generated by the spin terms S_{ij}.

Physical interpretation of some of the deformed identities depends on the space-time dimension. In four dimensions one finds that the identities that depend on the deformation parameter ζ , which is twice the helicity, take the form[61]

$$W^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} M_{\nu\rho} \cdot P_\sigma = \zeta P^\mu \tag{8.17}$$

$$V^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} M_{\nu\rho} \cdot K_\sigma = -\zeta K^\mu \tag{8.18}$$

$$\eta^{\mu\nu} M_{\mu\rho} \cdot M_{\nu\sigma} - P_{(\rho} \cdot K_{\sigma)} + 2\eta_{\rho\sigma} = \frac{\zeta^2}{2}\eta_{\rho\sigma} \tag{8.19}$$

$$M_{\mu\nu} \cdot M_{\rho\sigma} + M_{\mu\sigma} \cdot M_{\nu\rho} + M_{\mu\rho} \cdot M_{\sigma\nu} = \zeta \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\Delta \tag{8.20}$$

$$\Delta \cdot M_{\mu\nu} + P_{[\mu} \cdot K_{\nu]} = -\frac{\zeta}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} M^{\rho\sigma} \tag{8.21}$$

and W^μ and V^μ are the Pauli-Lubansky vector and its special conformal analog, respectively. The eigenvalues of the Casimir operators of the deformed minreps of $SO(4,2)$ depend only on ζ[61]:

$$C_2 = M_B{}^A M_A{}^B = 6 - \frac{3\zeta^2}{2} \tag{8.22}$$

$$C_3 = \epsilon^{ABCDEF} M_{AB} M_{CD} M_{EF} = 6\zeta\left(\zeta^2 - 4\right) = -8C_2\sqrt{1 - \frac{C_2}{6}} \tag{8.23}$$

$$C_4 = M_B{}^A M_C{}^B M_D{}^C M_A{}^D = \frac{3}{8}\left(\zeta^4 + 8\zeta^2 - 48\right) = \frac{C_2^2}{6} - 4C_2 \tag{8.24}$$

Deformed Joseph ideal generators of $6d$ conformal group $SO(6,2)$ were given in[62]. One finds that the analog of Pauli-Lubanski vector in $6d$ is a tensor of rank 3 and its conformal analogue defined as

$$A_{\mu\nu\rho} = \frac{1}{3!}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\delta\tau} M^{[\sigma\delta} \cdot P^{\tau]} \qquad B_{\mu\nu\rho} = \frac{1}{3!}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\delta\tau} M^{[\sigma\delta} \cdot K^{\tau]} \tag{8.25}$$

They vanish identically for the minrep

$$A_{\mu\nu\rho} = 0 \qquad B_{\mu\nu\rho} = 0 \tag{8.26}$$

For the deformed minreps they do not vanish, but satisfy self-duality and anti-self-duality conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\mu\nu\rho} &= \widetilde{A}_{\mu\nu\rho} \\ B_{\mu\nu\rho} &= -\widetilde{B}_{\mu\nu\rho} \end{aligned} \tag{8.27}$$

where the dual rank three tensors are defined as follows:

$$\widetilde{A}_{\mu\nu\rho} = \frac{1}{3!}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\delta\tau}A^{\sigma\delta\tau}, \qquad \widetilde{B}_{\mu\nu\rho} = \frac{1}{3!}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\delta\tau}B^{\sigma\delta\tau} \tag{8.28}$$

Similarly one finds the following identity for the generators of the deformed minreps:

$$M_{\mu\nu}\cdot M_{\rho\sigma} + M_{\mu\sigma}\cdot M_{\nu\rho} + M_{\mu\rho}\cdot M_{\sigma\nu} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}{}^{\delta\tau}(P_{[\delta}\cdot K_{\tau]} + M_{\delta\tau}\cdot\Delta) \tag{8.29}$$

while each side of this equation vanishes separately for the true minrep.

The quadratic Casimir operator of the deformed minrep of $SO^*(8)$is given by [22]:

$$C_2\left[\mathfrak{so}^*(8)\right]_{\text{deformed}} = -8\left(2-\mathcal{T}^2\right) \tag{8.30}$$

where $\mathcal{T}^2$ is the quadratic Casimir operator of an $SU(2)$ subgroup of the little group $SO(4)$ of massless particles whose eigenvalues label the deformations.

In general the representation

that vanished in the symmetric tensor product of the adjoint representation for the true minrep of $SO(d,2)$ no longer vanishes for the deformed minrep. On the other hand the representation that occurs in the tensor product still vanishes for the deformed minreps:

$$\eta^{CD}M_{AC}\cdot M_{DB} = 0 \tag{8.31}$$

Hence the gauge fields of deformed higher spin theories defined by $hs(d,2)_{Def}$ will not consist only of fields corresponding to traceless two-row Young tableaux. Their Young tableaux will be those that occur in the symmetrized products of the adjoint tableau of $SO(d,2)$ subject to the constraint:



8.3 Supersymmetric deformations of higher spin algebras

Maximal dimension for the existence of simple superconformal algebras over the field of real or complex numbers is six[74]. In $d = 3$ the conformal group $SO(3, 2)$ admits supersymmetric extensions to supergroups $OSp(N|4, \mathbb{R})$ with even subgroups $SO(N) \times Sp(4, \mathbb{R})$. The minimal unitary supermultiplet of $OSp(N|4, \mathbb{R})$ for even N consists of a scalar singleton transforming in a chiral spinor representation of $SO(N)$ and a spinor singleton transforming in the conjugate spinor representation of $SO(N)$. For odd N the minimal unitary supermultiplet contains a scalar and a spinor singleton both transforming in the unique spinor representation of $SO(N)$.

That the higher spin algebra of Fradkin and Vasiliev in AdS_4 as well as its supersymmetric extensions are given by the enveloping algebras of the singletonic realization of $SO(3, 2)$ and its supersymmetric extensions was first pointed out in [52]. In higher dimensions $AdS_{(d+1)}/CFT_d$ higher spin superalgebras are defined as enveloping algebras of the quasiconformal realization of the minimal unitary representation of the correponding superconformal algebras[61, 62, 63]. The minimal unitary supermultiplet contains the minrep of $SO(d, 2)$ and certain deformations of the minrep. As such it corresponds to a massless conformal supermultiplet of the underlying conformal superalgebra.

In $d = 4$ the conformal algebra $SU(2, 2)$ can be extended to an infinite family of superalgebras $SU(2, 2 \,|\, N)$ with the even subalgebra $SU(2, 2) \oplus U(N)$. The minimal unitary representation of $SU(2, 2|N)$ and its deformations were constructed via the quasiconformal method in [21]. These representations were later reformulated in terms of helicity deformed twistors that transform non-linearly under the Lorentz group and the corresponding AdS_5/CFT_4 higher spin superalgebras were studied in [61].

The conformal algebra $SO(6, 2) = SO^*(8)$ in $d = 6$ also admits an infinite family of superconformal extensions $OSp(8^* \,|\, 2N)$ with even subalgebras $SO^*(8) \oplus USp(2N)$. The quasiconformal construction of the minimal unitary supermultiplets of $OSp(8^* \,|\, 2N)$ and their deformations were given in [22, 23]. These representaions were reformulated in terms of deformed twistors and the corresponding AdS_7/CFT_6 higher spin superalgebras were studied in [62].

In five dimensions there exists a unique superconformal algebra , namely the exceptional superalgebra with the even subalgebra $SO(5, 2) \oplus SU(2)$. The minimal unitary supermultiplet of $F(4)$ was constructed via the quasi-

conformal method and the unique higher spin AdS_6/CFT_5 superalgbera was studied in [64].

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank Sudarshan Fernando, Karan Govil and Oleksandr Pavlyk for most enjoyable and stimulating scientific collaborations on topics covered in this review. I would also like to thank Evgeny Skvortsov, Massimo Taronna and Misha Vasiliev for many helpful discussions on higher spin theories. Thanks are also due to Lars Brink and other organizers for the invitation to the Conference on Higher Spin Gauge Theories at the Institute of Advanced Studies in Nanyang Technological University in Singapore. The research reviewed here was supported in part by the US Department of Energy under DOE Grant No: de-sc0010534 and US National Science Foundation under grants PHY-1213183 and PHY-08-55356.

References

[1] A. Joseph, "Minimal realizations and spectrum generating algebras," *Comm. Math. Phys.* **36** (1974) 325–338.

[2] D. A. Vogan, Jr., "Singular unitary representations," in *Noncommutative harmonic analysis and Lie groups (Marseille, 1980)*, vol. 880 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pp. 506–535. Springer, Berlin, 1981.

[3] B. Kostant, "The vanishing of scalar curvature and the minimal representation of $SO(4,4)$," in *Operator algebras, unitary representations, enveloping algebras, and invariant theory (Paris, 1989)*, vol. 92 of *Progr. Math.*, pp. 85–124. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.

[4] B. Binegar and R. Zierau, "Unitarization of a singular representation of $so(p,q)$," *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **138** (1991), no. 2, 245–258.

[5] D. Kazhdan and G. Savin, "The smallest representation of simply laced groups," in *Festschrift in honor of I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday, Part I (Ramat Aviv, 1989)*, vol. 2 of *Israel Math. Conf. Proc.*, pp. 209–223. Weizmann, Jerusalem, 1990.

[6] R. Brylinski and B. Kostant, "Lagrangian models of minimal representations of E_6, E_7 and E_8," in *Functional analysis on the eve of the 21st century, Vol. 1 (New Brunswick, NJ, 1993)*, vol. 131 of *Progr. Math.*, pp. 13–63. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1995.

[7] R. Brylinski and B. Kostant, "Minimal representations, geometric quantization, and unitarity," *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **91** (1994), no. 13, 6026–6029.

[8] B. H. Gross and N. R. Wallach, "A distinguished family of unitary representations for the exceptional groups of real rank = 4," in *Lie theory and geometry*, vol. 123 of *Progr. Math.*, pp. 289–304. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1994.

[9] J.-S. Li, "Minimal representations & reductive dual pairs," in *Representation theory of Lie groups (Park City, UT, 1998)*, vol. 8 of *IAS/Park City Math. Ser.*, pp. 293–340. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.

[10] T. Kobayashi and B. Ørsted, "Analysis on the minimal representation of $O(p,q)$. I. Realization via conformal geometry," *Adv. Math.* **180** (2003), no. 2, 486–512.

[11] T. Kobayashi and B. Ørsted, "Analysis on the minimal representation of $O(p,q)$. II. Branching laws," *Adv. Math.* **180** (2003), no. 2, 513–550.

[12] T. Kobayashi and B. Ørsted, "Analysis on the minimal representation of $O(p,q)$. III. Ultrahyperbolic equations on $\mathbf{R}^{p-1,q-1}$," *Adv. Math.* **180** (2003), no. 2, 551–595.

[13] A. R. Gover and A. Waldron, "The so(d+2,2) Minimal Representation and Ambient Tractors: the Conformal Geometry of Momentum Space," `0903.1394`.

[14] D. Kazhdan, B. Pioline, and A. Waldron, "Minimal representations, spherical vectors, and exceptional theta series. I," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **226** (2002) 1–40, `hep-th/0107222`.

[15] M. Günaydin, K. Koepsell, and H. Nicolai, "Conformal and quasiconformal realizations of exceptional Lie groups," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **221** (2001) 57–76, `hep-th/0008063`.

[16] M. Günaydin and O. Pavlyk, "Generalized spacetimes defined by cubic forms and the minimal unitary realizations of their quasiconformal groups," *JHEP* **08** (2005) 101, hep-th/0506010.

[17] M. Günaydin, K. Koepsell, and H. Nicolai, "The minimal unitary representation of $E_{8(8)}$," *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **5** (2002) 923–946, hep-th/0109005.

[18] M. Günaydin, G. Sierra, and P. K. Townsend, "Exceptional supergravity theories and the magic square," *Phys. Lett.* **B133** (1983) 72.

[19] M. Günaydin and O. Pavlyk, "Minimal unitary realizations of exceptional U-duality groups and their subgroups as quasiconformal groups," *JHEP* **01** (2005) 019, hep-th/0409272.

[20] M. Günaydin and O. Pavlyk, "A unified approach to the minimal unitary realizations of noncompact groups and supergroups," *JHEP* **09** (2006) 050, hep-th/0604077.

[21] S. Fernando and M. Gunaydin, "Minimal unitary representation of SU(2,2) and its deformations as massless conformal fields and their supersymmetric extensions," *J.Math.Phys.* **51** (2010) 082301, 0908.3624.

[22] S. Fernando and M. Gunaydin, "Minimal unitary representation of SO*(8) = SO(6,2) and its SU(2) deformations as massless 6D conformal fields and their supersymmetric extensions," *Nucl.Phys.* **B841** (2010) 339–387, 1005.3580.

[23] S. Fernando and M. Gunaydin, "SU(2) deformations of the minimal unitary representation of $OSp(8^*|2N)$ as massless 6D conformal supermultiplets," *Nucl.Phys.* **B843** (2011) 784–815, 1008.0702.

[24] M. Gunaydin and N. Marcus, "The Spectrum of the S^5 Compactification of the Chiral $N = 2, D = 10$ Supergravity and the Unitary Supermultiplets of $U(2, 2/4)$," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **2** (1985) L11.

[25] M. Gunaydin, D. Minic, and M. Zagermann, "4D doubleton conformal theories, CPT and II B string on AdS(5) x S(5)," *Nucl. Phys.* **B534** (1998) 96–120, `hep-th/9806042`.

[26] M. Gunaydin, D. Minic, and M. Zagermann, "Novel supermultiplets of $SU(2,2|4)$ and the AdS_5/CFT_4 duality," *Nucl. Phys.* **B544** (1999) 737–758, `hep-th/9810226`.

[27] M. Gunaydin, P. van Nieuwenhuizen, and N. P. Warner, "General Construction of the Unitary Representations of Anti-De Sitter Superalgebras and the Spectrum of the S^4 Compactification of Eleven-Dimensional Supergravity," *Nucl. Phys.* **B255** (1985) 63.

[28] P. A. M. Dirac, "A remarkable representation of the 3+ 2 de sitter group," *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **4** (1963) 901.

[29] M. Gunaydin, "Oscillator-Like Unitary Representations Of Non-Compact Groups And Supergroups And Extended Supergravity Theories," *Lect. Notes Phys.* **180** (1983) 192–213.

[30] M. Günaydin, "Oscillator-like unitary representations of noncompact groups and supergroups and extended supergravity theories,". Extended version presented at 11th Int. Colloq. on Group Theoretical Methods in Physics, Intanbul, Turkey, Aug 23- 28, 1982.

[31] M. Günaydin and C. Saclioglu, "Oscillator-like unitary representations of noncompact groups with a Jordan structure and the noncompact groups of supergravity," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **87** (1982) 159.

[32] I. Bars and M. Gunaydin, "Unitary Representations of Noncompact Supergroups," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **91** (1983) 31.

[33] M. Gunaydin and S. Hyun, "Unitary lowest weight representations of the noncompact supergroup $OSp(2n|2m,R)$," *J.Math.Phys.* **29** (1988) 2367.

[34] M. Flato and C. Fronsdal, "One Massless Particle Equals Two Dirac Singletons: Elementary Particles in a Curved Space. 6.," *Lett. Math. Phys.* **2** (1978) 421–426.

[35] M. Flato and C. Fronsdal, "Quantum field theory of singletons. the rac," *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **22** (1981) 1100.

[36] C. Fronsdal, "The Dirac Supermultiplet," *Phys. Rev.* **D26** (1982) 1988.

[37] M. Flato and C. Fronsdal, "Quantum Field Theory of Singletons: The Rac," *J. Math. Phys.* **22** (1981) 1100.

[38] E. Angelopoulos, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, and D. Sternheimer, "Massless Particles, Conformal Group and De Sitter Universe," *Phys. Rev.* **D23** (1981) 1278.

[39] E. Fradkin and M. A. Vasiliev, "Cubic Interaction in Extended Theories of Massless Higher Spin Fields," *Nucl.Phys.* **B291** (1987) 141.

[40] S. Konshtein and M. A. Vasiliev, "Massless representations and admissibility condition for higher spin superalgebras," *Nucl.Phys.* **B312** (1989) 402.

[41] I. Klebanov and A. Polyakov, "AdS dual of the critical O(N) vector model," *Phys.Lett.* **B550** (2002) 213–219, `hep-th/0210114`.

[42] M. A. Vasiliev, "More on equations of motion for interacting massless fields of all spins in (3+1)-dimensions," *Phys. Lett.* **B285** (1992) 225–234.

[43] S. Giombi and X. Yin, "Higher Spin Gauge Theory and Holography: The Three-Point Functions," *JHEP* **1009** (2010) 115, `0912.3462`.

[44] S. Giombi and X. Yin, "Higher Spins in AdS and Twistorial Holography," *JHEP* **1104** (2011) 086, `1004.3736`.

[45] M. A. Vasiliev, "Higher spin gauge theories in four-dimensions, three-dimensions, and two-dimensions," *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **D5** (1996) 763–797, `hep-th/9611024`.

[46] M. A. Vasiliev, "Higher spin gauge theories: Star product and AdS space," `hep-th/9910096`.

[47] X. Bekaert, S. Cnockaert, C. Iazeolla, and M. Vasiliev, "Nonlinear higher spin theories in various dimensions," `hep-th/0503128`.

[48] C. Iazeolla, "On the Algebraic Structure of Higher-Spin Field Equations and New Exact Solutions," 0807.0406.

[49] A. Sagnotti, "Notes on Strings and Higher Spins," *J.Phys.* **A46** (2013) 214006, 1112.4285.

[50] S. Giombi and X. Yin, "The Higher Spin/Vector Model Duality," *J.Phys.* **A46** (2013) 214003, 1208.4036.

[51] V. Didenko and E. Skvortsov, "Elements of Vasiliev theory," 1401.2975.

[52] M. Gunaydin, "Singleton and doubleton supermultiplets of space-time supergroups and infinite spin superalgebras,". Invited talk given at Trieste Conf. on Supermembranes and Physics in (2+1)-Dimensions, Trieste, Italy, Jul 17-21, 1989 ed. by M. J. Duff, et. al., World Scientific (1990), p. 442-456.

[53] E. S. Fradkin and V. Ya. Linetsky, "Conformal superalgebras of higher spins," *Ann. Phys.* **198** (1990) 252–292.

[54] E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, "Doubletons and 5-D higher spin gauge theory," *JHEP* **09** (2001) 036, hep-th/0105001.

[55] E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, "7-D bosonic higher spin theory: Symmetry algebra and linearized constraints," *Nucl. Phys.* **B634** (2002) 120–140, hep-th/0112100.

[56] E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, "Towards massless higher spin extension of D=5, N=8 gauged supergravity," *JHEP* **09** (2001) 025, hep-th/0107186.

[57] E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, "Massless higher spins and holography," *Nucl. Phys.* **B644** (2002) 303–370, hep-th/0205131. [Erratum: Nucl. Phys.B660,403(2003)].

[58] S. Fernando, M. Gunaydin, and S. Takemae, "Supercoherent states of $OSp(8^*|2N)$, conformal superfields and the AdS(7) / CFT(6) duality," *Nucl.Phys.* **B628** (2002) 79–111, hep-th/0106161.

[59] M. Vasiliev, "Higher spin superalgebras in any dimension and their representations," *JHEP* **0412** (2004) 046, hep-th/0404124.

[60] M. G. Eastwood, "Higher symmetries of the Laplacian," *Annals Math.* **161** (2005) 1645–1665, hep-th/0206233.

[61] K. Govil and M. Gunaydin, "Deformed Twistors and Higher Spin Conformal (Super-)Algebras in Four Dimensions," 1312.2907.

[62] K. Govil and M. Gunaydin, "Deformed Twistors and Higher Spin Conformal (Super-)Algebras in Six Dimensions," *JHEP* **1407** (2014) 004, 1401.6930.

[63] S. Fernando and M. Gunaydin, "Minimal unitary representation of $5d$ superconformal algebra $F(4)$ and AdS_6/CFT_5 higher spin (super)-algebras," *Nucl. Phys.* **B890** (2014) 570–605, 1409.2185.

[64] S. Fernando and M. Gunaydin, "Massless conformal fields, AdS_{d+1}/CFT_d higher spin algebras and their deformations," *Nucl. Phys.* **B904** (2016) 494–526, 1511.02167.

[65] V. de Alfaro, S. Fubini, and G. Furlan, "Conformal invariance in quantum mechanics," *Nuovo Cim.* **A34** (1976) 569.

[66] F. Calogero and C. Marchioro, "Lower bounds to the ground-state energy of systems containing identical particles," *J.Math.Phys.* **10** (1969) 562–569.

[67] F. Calogero, "Solution of the one-dimensional N body problems with quadratic and/or inversely quadratic pair potentials," *J.Math.Phys.* **12** (1971) 419–436.

[68] E. Angelopoulos and M. Laoues, "Masslessness in n-dimensions," *Rev.Math.Phys.* **10** (1998) 271–300, hep-th/9806100.

[69] M. Laoues, "Massless particles in arbitrary dimensions," *Rev. Math. Phys.* **10** (1998) 1079–1109, hep-th/9806101.

[70] P. A. M. Dirac, "A Remarkable respresentation of the 3+2 de Sitter group," *J. Math. Phys.* **4** (1963) 901–909.

[71] M. Gunaydin and S. Takemae, "Unitary supermultiplets of $OSp(8*|4)$ and the AdS(7) / CFT(6) duality," *Nucl.Phys.* **B578** (2000) 405–448, hep-th/9910110.

[72] M. Eastwood, P. Somberg, and V. Soucek, "The uniqueness of the joseph ideal for the classical groups," 0512296.

[73] M. Eastwood, "The cartan product," *Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society-Simon Stevin* **11** (2005), no. 5, 641–651.

[74] W. Nahm, "Supersymmetries and their representations," *Nucl. Phys.* **B135** (1978) 149.